

**AGENDA ITEM 34**

Discuss and take any appropriate action on name of new Juvenile Facility.

Moved: **Commissioner Heiligenstein**

Seconded: **Commissioner Boatright**

Motion: To name the new juvenile facility "Williamson County Juvenile Facility."

Vote: **5 - 0**

**AGENDA ITEM 35**

Discuss and take any appropriate action regarding issuance of certificates of obligation.

No action was taken on this agenda item, which will be added to the August 21, 2001 agenda.

**AGENDA ITEM 36**

Discuss and consider a resolution regarding cities requiring traffic impact studies as a requirement for the County's participation in right-of-way acquisition.

Moved: **Commissioner Hays**

Seconded: **Commissioner Heiligenstein**

Motion: To approve a resolution regarding the county requiring traffic impact studies as a requirement for the county's participation in right-of-way acquisition within a city or in its extraterritorial jurisdiction (ETJ), with an effective date of October 1, 2001.

Vote: **5 - 0**

< Attachment >

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMISSIONER'S COURT OF WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS,** that the County request the cities in Williamson County to consider adopting a traffic impact study ordinance that would require the conduct of a traffic impact study as part of the subdivision and zoning process. The traffic impact study would include, at a minimum, the following:

- 1) identification of traffic impacts on existing county roads and State highways of proposed development;
- 2) identification of roadway improvements and necessary right-of-way requirements needed to address the traffic impacts of the proposed development; and,
- 3) identification of method for right-of-way preservation and financial contributions for the identified roadway improvements.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE COMMISSIONER'S COURT OF WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS,** that the County will not expend funds to acquire right-of-way or adjust utilities related to a roadway improvement project located inside the corporate limits of a city that has not adopted a traffic impact study ordinance by 10/01/01, and will only pay 50% of the total costs associated with a roadway improvement project located in the extra territorial jurisdiction of a city that has not adopted a traffic impact study ordinance by 10/01/01

The foregoing Resolution was duly moved by COMMISSIONER HAYS and seconded by COMMISSIONER HEILGENSTEIN, and was then adopted by a vote of

5 voting for and 0 voting

against. County Judge John C. Doerfler was duly authorized to sign said resolution as the act and deed of Commissioner's Court of Williamson County and of said County.

John C. Doerfler 8-14-01  
JOHN C. DOERFLER, County Judge

ATTEST:

Nancy E. Rister  
NANCY RISTER, County Clerk

**AGENDA ITEM 37**

Consider approving amendment to contract with Smith, Robertson, Elliott & Glen for legal environmental services for increased fee levels.

Moved: **Commissioner Hays**

Seconded: **Commissioner Boatright**

Motion: To approve amendment to the contract with Smith, Robertson, Elliott & Glen for legal environmental services for increased fee levels.

Vote: 5 - 0

< Attachment >

**SMITH, ROBERTSON, ELLIOTT & GLEN, L.L.P.**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
1717 WEST SIXTH STREET, SUITE 350  
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78703  
(512) 225-5800  
FAX (512) 225-5838

ALAN M. GLEN  
PARTNER

DIRECT DIAL NUMBER:  
(512) 225-5801

DIRECT FAX:  
(512) 225-5821

E-MAIL: aglen@sreglaw.com

August 6, 2001

Eugene Taylor, Esq.  
Williamson County Courthouse  
710 S. Main Street  
Georgetown, TX 78626

Re: Williamson County Road Program: Legal Environmental Services

Dear Mr. Taylor:

As you know, our work on various Williamson County Road Program environmental matters continues. Enclosed for your reference is a copy of a memo dated July 19, 2001, giving a summary of our status on the various tasks on which we are working. The purpose of this letter is to request increases in our contract amounts in accordance with the status of the matters as described in the memorandum and our expectation of work levels through November 2001. Accordingly, we request that our engagement with Williamson County be amended to increase authorized fee levels for the various tasks as described below. If this request is acceptable to you, we ask that you place the matter on the Commissioners Court agenda for appropriate action.

We have reviewed these requested contract increases with the Road Bond Manager and believe he is in accord with them. Of course, we may not in all instances actually utilize the entire contract increases during the period in question, and we will continue to endeavor to provide our services as efficiently as possible (including the discounted rates we have established for the County). The intent of these increases is to avoid the need for further contract adjustments over the next few months.

**Phase I**

Phase I of this Firm's environmental compliance service is nearly complete, but some tasks have been added since the original engagement, and we are continuing to work on those. Phase I legal environmental services included:

- *Task 1: Review of Opportunities and Constraints*
- *Task 2: Establishment of Coordinated Compliance Program with Key Agencies*
- *Task 3: Preparation of Environmental Review and Compliance Protocol*
- *Task 4: Environmental Compliance Summary*